

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,504	02/07/2006	Asbjorn Aarvik	2005_0934A	7002
513 7590 09/24/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREE		•	STEPHENSON, DANIEL P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wildim (0101), Be 20000 1021			3672	
		•	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		<b>:</b>	09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,504	AARVIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel P. Stephensor	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMM 136(a). In no event, however, r will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to becc	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>—·</u>					
,						
· — · · ·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,22,24 and 26</u> is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 16-18,20,21,23,25 and 27-30 is/are rejected.					
, — , , —	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachmanta						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/05.	· ·	r:				

Application/Control Number: 10/538,504

Art Unit: 3672

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it lacks section headings, and it lacks a brief description of the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/538,504

Art Unit: 3672

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 16-18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 3. unpatentable over Payne et al. (US 5,544,672) in view of Korske (US 2006/0041392) and Appleford et al. (US 2004/0245182). Payne et al. discloses a system for prediction and treatment of slugs being formed in a flow line (10) system or wellbore tubing transporting a multiphase fluid towards a downstream process. The flowline has a separator/slug catcher (13) at the process inlet. There is a slug detector and meters (28,30,32) dedicated to detect any incoming slug that is located between the point of slug initiation and the process inlet. The meters may include densitometers. There is a computer unit (33) connected to the detector and meters located upstream an inlet choke (26) in the flow line (10) system. The unit (33) includes software, which based on signals from the slug detector in combination with signals from the meters, which determines the nature of the slug and estimates its volume and its arrival time to the process. Instruments, including a pressure gauge and a liquid level gauge, continuously monitor pressure and liquid levels in the separator/slug catcher. The slug detectors position relative to the slug catcher will be determined by the design of the flowline. Payne et al. does not disclose that there is a multiphase flowmeter or a fluid velocity meter with the meters. Nor does it disclose that the Instruments are connected to the computer, so that the computer unit can regulate the pressure and/or fluid level within the slug catcher.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,504

Art Unit: 3672

Korske discloses a fluid velocity meter for determining slug characteristics, which communicates with a programmable logic controller for minimizing slug impact. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fluid velocity meter of Korske with the apparatus of Payne et al. This would be done to allow for various slugs to be found. In addition Payne et al.'s meters are disclosed as being "or other suitable means", which gives the designer of the flowline the opportunity to place various sensors at these locations.

Appleford et al. discloses the use of a computer (36) for the control of the outlets of a separator/slug catcher. The computer controls the liquid outlet valve (30) and the gas outlet valve (20) as well as a compressor in the gas outlet line. The computer controls these things based on input from the instrumentation connected to the slug catcher. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the computer control of Appleford et al. with the apparatus of Payne et al. This would be done to provide greater automatization of the process of slug control.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19, 22, 24 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Payne '171, Haandrikman et al., Glenn, Jr. et al. and the pre-grant publication to King et al. all show similar features to those of the present invention.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P. Stephenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

DPS\\